



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/642,642

08/19/2003

Dov Zipori

85189-4900

3766

28765 7590 01/02/2008

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
PATENT DEPARTMENT
1700 K STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

SKELDING, ZACHARY S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1644

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/02/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/642,642

Applicant(s)

ZIPORI ET.AL.

Examiner

Zachary Skelding

Art Unit

1644

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 October 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4, 8-12, 16 and 18-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-7, 13-15 and 17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-21-05.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election filed October 11, 2007, with traverse, is acknowledged.

Claims 1-26 are pending.

2. Applicant's election of the invention of Group I, drawn to polynucleotides comprising a transcript of a T cell receptor gene, said polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, and a 5' intronic J sequence upstream to said J region sequence including an in frame methionine codon, wherein the species of C domain, J region and 5' intronic J sequences are "J β ", and the particular species of intronic J β sequence is the intronic J β sequence upstream of J β 2.6 which encodes SEQ ID NO: 2, with traverse, in the reply filed October 11, 2007 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)), and the restriction requirement has been made FINAL.

Upon further consideration, the species of J β intronic sequence to be examined has been extended to include the intronic J β sequence upstream of J β 2.3 which encodes SEQ ID NO: 17.

Thus, claims 1-3, 5-7, 13-15 and 17 are under examination as they read on polynucleotides comprising a transcript of a T cell receptor gene, said polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, and a 5' intronic J sequence upstream to said J region sequence including an in frame methionine codon, wherein the species of C domain, J region and 5' intronic J sequences are "J β ", and the particular species of intronic J β sequences are the intronic J β sequences upstream of J β 2.3 and J β 2.6 which encode the polypeptides of SEQ ID NOs: 17 and 2, respectively.

Art Unit: 1644

Furthermore, claims 4, 8-12, 16 and 18-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention or species of invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

3. It is noted that due to the death of Inventor Rozenszajn on February 18, 2003, the oath or declaration filed March 15, 2004 has been signed on his/her behalf by legal representative of the assignee of the full interest of Inventor Rozenszajn, Bar-Ilan University.
4. Claim 6 is objected to because there should be a space between “5” and “intronic” consistent with the nomenclature in the other claims and throughout the specification, see for example claim 1 and the instant specification at page 4, 4th paragraph.
5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 101:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 USC § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, a product of nature.

The instant claim recites “a polynucleotide comprising SEQ ID NO: 38”. However the instant claims, as written, do not sufficiently distinguish over naturally occurring polynucleotides, for example naturally occurring polynucleotides comprising SEQ ID NO: 38 as they occur in the mouse, because the claims do not particularly point out any non-naturally occurring differences between the claimed polynucleotides and naturally occurring polynucleotides. In the absence of the hand of man, naturally occurring polynucleotides are considered non-statutory subject matter. See Diamond v. Chakrabarty, 447 U.S. 303, 206 USPQ 193 (1980).

Art Unit: 1644

Applicant should amend the instant claim to indicate the hand of man and point to a basis in the specification so as not to add new matter. See MPEP 2163.06.

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites “[a]n isolated polynucleotide comprising a transcript of a T cell receptor (TCR) gene, said polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, and a 5' intronic J sequences upstream to said J region sequence including an in-frame methionine codon.”

However, the metes and bounds of claim 1, and dependent claims thereof, are unclear.

In particular, it is unclear if what is being claimed is an isolated polynucleotide which comprises “a transcript of a T cell receptor (TCR) gene” as well as “a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region...” or if what is being claimed is an isolated polynucleotide which comprises “a transcript of a T cell receptor (TCR) gene” and that the transcript itself further comprises “a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region....”.

Moreover, it is additionally unclear what is meant by the phrase “including an in-frame methionine codon” in claim 1. In particular, it is unclear what the reference point is for the “in-frame methionine codon,” i.e., what is the reference polypeptide that the methionine codon is “in-frame” with?

Art Unit: 1644

Also, claim 15 recites “[t]ransfected mesenchymal human cells according to claim 14,” wherein claim 14 is drawn to “[a] host cell comprising a vector...wherein the host is a mammalian cell.”

However, the metes and bounds of claim 1 and dependent claims thereof are unclear. In particular, it is unclear if claim 15 refers to the host cell of claim 14 which already comprises a vector transfected with something else or if it refers to the host cell of claim 14 not additionally transfected with something else but rather further limited in that said mammalian cell of claim 14 is a mesenchymal cell.

Thus, the instant claims fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

9. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

10. Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for isolated polynucleotides encoding the polypeptides of SEQ ID NOS: 37, 39 or 2, such as SEQ ID NO: 38 which encodes SEQ ID NO: 39, does not reasonably provide enablement for any isolated polynucleotide wherein said isolated polynucleotide comprises a transcript of a T cell receptor (TCR) gene, the polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, and a 5' intronic J sequences upstream of the J region sequence including an in-frame methionine codon. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Art Unit: 1644

The disclosure of the specification does not enable one skilled in the art to practice the invention without an undue amount of experimentation.

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 USC 112, first paragraph, have been described by the court in *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (CA FC 1988). *Wands* states on page 1404, "Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation have been summarized by the board in *Ex parte Forman*. They include (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims."

The instant claims, given their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the instant specification, read on any isolated polynucleotide comprising a transcript of a T cell receptor (TCR) gene, the polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, and a 5' intronic J sequences upstream of the J region sequence including an in-frame methionine codon (see instant specification, pages 4-7).

The knowledge in the art pertaining to using any isolated polynucleotide comprising a transcript of a T cell receptor (TCR) gene, the polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequence, and a 5' intronic J sequences upstream of the J region sequence including an in-frame methionine codon is low.

Art Unit: 1644

The instant specification asserts that the claimed polynucleotides encode polypeptides that have a variety of uses, such as for the modulation of mesenchymal cell growth or for making antibodies that can in turn be used for the detection of mesenchymal cells that can be used in wound healing, for example, mouse embryonic fibroblasts, which are known by the skilled artisan to be pluripotent progenitor cells (see instant specification, in particular, page 3, 1st paragraph; the paragraph bridging pages 10-11 and page 33).

The instant specification further exemplifies a particular antibody raised against a polypeptide encoded by a segment of the 5' intronic sequence with an in-frame methionine codon upstream of J β 2.6, i.e., SEQ ID NO: 37 (note that SEQ ID NO: 37 is contained within SEQ ID NO: 2 which is contained within SEQ ID NO: 39). The instant specification shows that said antibody is useful for the detection of mouse embryonic fibroblasts, which are known by the skilled artisan to be pluripotent progenitor cells that are useful in wound healing (see, in particular, page 3, 1st paragraph; page 11, description of Figs. 2A-2F and pages 27-28, Examples 1 and 2).

The instant specification further discloses that "a molecule such as Jint-J β 2.1-C β 2" can be detected in the tumorigenic mesenchymal cell line MBA-13 "using appropriate primers" (see, in particular, page 28, 3rd paragraph).

The instant specification also discloses that a polynucleotide transcript of a T cell receptor (TCR) gene, the polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, wherein the joining J gene sequence is J β 2.3, and a 5' intronic J sequences upstream of the J region sequence including an in-frame methionine codon, wherein the 5' intronic sequence encodes SEQ ID NO: 17, was isolated from human cord blood mononuclear cells and human amniotic fluid cells (see instant specification at page 31, 3rd paragraph and SEQ ID NO: 67). The instant specification also discloses at page 32, 1st paragraph, that a fusion of the J β 2.3 polynucleotide to GFP can be used to express a GFP-fusion protein (see instant specification at page 32, 1st paragraph).

Art Unit: 1644

However, neither the disclosure of the instant specification, nor the knowledge in the art are sufficient for the skilled artisan to make and use the claimed invention to its full breadth.

While antibodies raised against a polypeptide encoded by a segment of the 5' intronic sequence with an in-frame methionine codon upstream of J β 2.6, i.e., SEQ ID NO: 37, are useful for detecting mouse embryonic fibroblasts which are useful, for example, in wound healing, the instant specification does not teach the skilled artisan how to make and use any isolated polynucleotide commensurate in scope with the claimed invention with any measure of predictability.

For example, while the instant specification discloses that PCR analysis detected the Jint-J β 2.1-C β 2 mRNA in the tumorigenic mesenchymal cell line MBA-13 as described above, a post-filing date publication contradicts the disclosure of the instant specification in that it shows mRNA analysis of the mesenchymal cell line MBA-13 does not detect Jint-J β 2.1-C β 2 (see Barda-Saad et al., *Oncogene*. 2002 Mar 27;21(13):2029-36, in particular, page 2032 Figure 2E, cited on IDS of January 21, 2005).

Thus, the skilled artisan would not know how to use Jint-J β 2.1-C β 2, without undertaking undue experimentation, to modulate mesenchymal cell growth or as a "diagnostic marker" for the detection of a mesenchymal cells that can be used in wound healing for example, such as mouse embryonic fibroblasts.

Moreover, simply showing that a polynucleotide transcript of a T cell receptor (TCR) gene encompassed by the instant claims can be isolated from human cord blood mononuclear cells and human amniotic fluid cells, as is the case with SEQ ID NO: 67, does not provide sufficient direction or guidance for the skilled artisan to use SEQ ID NO: 67 as taught in the instant specification.

Art Unit: 1644

For example, before using SEQ ID NO: 67 or the polypeptide encoded thereby to modulate mesenchymal cell growth or to detect of mesenchymal cells that can be used in would healing, for example, mouse embryonic fibroblasts, the skilled artisan would first have to determine, at a minimum, which, if any mesenchymal cells express SEQ ID NO: 67.

However, this is not a matter of routine experimentation. "Mesenchymal cells" are a phenotypically heterogeneous population of cells including, for example, cells with pluripotency such as mesenchymal stem cells and fibroblast-like mesenchymal cells, as well as more highly differentiated cells such as endothelial-like mesenchymal cells, adipocytic mesenchymal cells, osteoblastic mesenchymal cells (see, for example, Benayahu et al., *Calcif Tissue Int.* 1991 Sep;49(3):202-7, in particular page 202). Moreover, these different types of mesenchymal cells have phenotypically diverse expression of many different markers such as alkaline phosphatase (see, Benayahu et al., *ibid*, in particular page 204, right column, 3rd paragraph) and extracellular matrix protein (see, Zipoi et al., *Blood*. 1985 Aug;66(2):447-55, in particular page 449, Table 1).

Given the variety of mesenchymal cells and their functional differences, the skilled artisan would not be able to reliably predict, which, if any polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, and a 5' intronic J sequences upstream of the J region sequence including an in-frame methionine codon, other than SEQ ID NO: 38, would be useful for either modulating mesenchymal cell growth or detecting mesenchymal cells that can be used in would healing, and undue experimentation would be required to determine which particular transcripts, if any, are useful in this regard.

Art Unit: 1644

Similarly, given a particular species of polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, and a 5' intronic J sequences upstream of the J region sequence including an in-frame methionine codon, i.e., SEQ ID NO: 38, is useful for the detection of mesenchymal cells that can be used in wound healing, for example, mouse embryonic fibroblasts, which are known by the skilled artisan to be pluripotent progenitor cells, the skilled artisan would not be able to reliably predict that similar polynucleotides expressed in other animals, such as SEQ ID NO: 67 which the instant specification discloses can be isolated from human cord blood mononuclear cells and human amniotic fluid cells, is similarly useful for detecting human mesenchymal cells that can be used in wound healing, such as human embryonic fibroblasts.

This is because not only is there very little known in the art about the expression and biological function of transcripts of a T cell receptor (TCR) gene, the polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, and a 5' intronic J sequences upstream of the J region sequence including an in-frame methionine codon, but also the skilled artisan recognizes that gene expression is controlled by complex combinatorial regulatory sequences which diverge across species, e.g., mouse vs. human, and that differences in gene regulation underlie interspecies evolution. (see, for example, Carroll et al., *Cell*. 2000 Jun 9;101(6):577-80, in particular, page 577 and the section entitled "the evolution of regulatory DNA..." bridging pages 578-579).

In light of the inter-species variability in gene expression the skilled artisan would not be able to predict, with any degree of certainty and in the absence of objective evidence, if any given isolated naturally occurring species of polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, and a 5' intronic J sequences upstream of the J region sequence including an in-frame methionine codon, such as SEQ ID NO: 67, would be useful for modulating mesenchymal cell growth or detecting mesenchymal cells that can be used in wound healing, for example, human embryonic fibroblasts.

Art Unit: 1644

It is additionally noted that the instant specification further discloses appx. 30 other polynucleotides comprising a transcript of a T cell receptor (TCR) gene, the polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, and a 5' intronic J sequences upstream of the J region sequence including an in-frame methionine codon (see instant specification pages 4-8).

However, the sequences of these polynucleotides were derived from database hunting and the instant specification does not appear to disclose if any of these polynucleotides have been detected as expressed transcripts in natural sources or provide any other objective evidence that these polynucleotides or the polypeptides they encode have useful properties.

For example, consider the “hypothetical” JαTA46 polypeptide and the polynucleotide that encodes said sequence (see instant specification at page 4(ii)-(iii)).

According to the instant specification, the claimed isolated polynucleotide can comprise the intronic sequences MAWH (SEQ ID NO: 3) or MEAGWEVQHWVSDMECLTV (SEQ ID NO: 4).

However, based on the teachings of the instant specification and the low level of knowledge in the art pertaining to the claimed subject matter, the skilled artisan would not know with any degree of predictability, which, if any isolated polynucleotide comprising a transcript of a T cell receptor (TCR) gene, the polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, and a 5' intronic J sequences upstream of the J region sequence including an in-frame methionine codon, such as SEQ ID NOs: 3 or 4, would be useful for the modulation of mesenchymal cell growth or as a “diagnostic marker” for the detection of a mesenchymal cells that can be used in would healing.

Art Unit: 1644

In conclusion, the instant claims encompass an invention of tremendous breadth, and essentially call for trial and error by the skilled artisan to begin discovering how to use the claimed invention without assisting the skilled artisan in such an endeavor, which is insufficient to constitute adequate enablement.

The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement. *In re Fisher*, 166 USPQ 18(CCPA 1970) indicates that the more unpredictable an area is, the more specific enablement is necessary in order to satisfy the statute.

Undue experimentation would be required to produce the invention commensurate with the breadth of the claims based on the disclosure of the instant specification and the knowledge in the art. Reasonable correlation must exist between the scope of the claims and scope of enablement set forth. In view of the quantity of experimentation necessary, the limited working examples, the unpredictability of the art, the lack of sufficient guidance in the specification, and the breadth of the claims, it would take undue trials and errors to practice the claimed invention.

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 1644

12. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Olga Bandman (US 20020137081) as evidenced by Entrez Nucleotide accession number L34740 (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/viewer.fcgi?db=nucleotide&id=1100190>), Entrez Protein accession number AAA82687 (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/viewer.fcgi?db=protein&id=1100191>), and IGc domain description (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/cddsrv.cgi?uid=28981>).

Bandman teaches a human cDNA of 1186 nucleotides (SEQ ID NO:130) which is greater than 2.5 fold underexpressed in TNF α and/or IL-1 activated vascular smooth muscle cells relative to non-activated vascular smooth muscle cells, and thus can be used to diagnose or monitor the progression or treatment of atherosclerosis (See, in particular, pages 1-2, paragraphs [0002]-[0010] and page 3, paragraph [0022]). Bandman further teaches expression of the cDNAs of the invention by cloning into an expression vector and transfection of a mammalian host cells with said cDNA containing expression vector (see, in particular, pages 7-8, paragraphs [0081]-[0085]).

Nucleotides 35-173 of Bandman SEQ ID NO: 130 are identical to nucleotides 1-139 of SEQ ID NO: 67 of the instant specification as shown below where SEQ ID NO: 67 is the Query and Bandman SEQ ID NO: 130 is the Sbjct (alignment prepared using the BLAST two sequences program publicly available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/bl2seq/wblast2.cgi>):

Art Unit: 1644

Score = 267 bits (139), Expect = 3e-68
 Identities = 139/139 (100%), Gaps = 0/139 (0%)
 Strand=Plus/Plus

```

Query 1  ATGGGGCTCTCAGCGGTGGGAAGGACCCGAGCTGAGTCTGGGACAGCAGAGCGGGCAGCA 60
          ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Sbjct 35  ATGGGGCTCTCAGCGGTGGGAAGGACCCGAGCTGAGTCTGGGACAGCAGAGCGGGCAGCA 94

Query 61  CCGGTTTTTGTCTGGGCCTCCAGGCTGTGAGCACAGATACGCAGTATTTGGCCCAGGC 120
          ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Sbjct 95  CCGGTTTTTGTCTGGGCCTCCAGGCTGTGAGCACAGATACGCAGTATTTGGCCCAGGC 154

Query 121 ACCCGGCTGACAGTGCTCG 139
          ||||||||||||
Sbjct 155 ACCCGGCTGACAGTGCTCG 173

```

Given that nucleotides 1-139 of SEQ ID NO: 67 encode the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 17 (which starts with a methionine and is encoded by the intron 5' to J β 2.3 polypeptide (see Amended Figure 11 filed May 3, 2006 in connection with the instant specification) nucleotides 35-173 of Bandman SEQ ID NO: 130 meet these limitations of the instant claims.

Furthermore, SEQ ID NO: 130 of Bandman further comprises nucleotides 325-730 which are identical but for 2 mismatches to nucleotides 1-406 of the polynucleotide sequence of the human TCR β chain constant (C) domain shown, for example, in Entrez Nucleotide accession number L34740, where SEQ ID NO: 130 of Bandman is the Sbjct and Entrez Nucleotide accession number L34740 is the Query (alignment prepared using the BLAST two sequences program publicly available at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/bl2seq/wblast2.cgi>):

Art Unit: 1644

Score = 769 bits (400), Expect = 0.0
Identities = 404/406 (99%), Gaps = 0/406 (0%)
Strand=Plus/Plus

```
Query 1 GAGGACCTGAAAAACGTGTTCCACCCGAGGTCGCTGTGTTTGAGCCATCAGAAGCAGAG 60
      |||
Sbjct 325 GAGGACCTGAAAAACGTGTTCCACCCGAGGTCGCTGTGTTTGAGCCATCAGAAGCAGAG 384

Query 61 ATCTCCACACCCAAAAGGCCACACTGGTATGCCTGGCCACAGGCTTCTACCCCGACCAC 120
      |||
Sbjct 385 ATCTCCACACCCAAAAGGCCACACTGGTGTGCCTGGCCACAGGCTTCTACCCCGACCAC 444

Query 121 GTGGAGCTGAGCTGGTGGGTGAATGGGAAGGAGGTGCACAGTGGGGTCAGCACAGACCCG 180
      |||
Sbjct 445 GTGGAGCTGAGCTGGTGGGTGAATGGGAAGGAGGTGCACAGTGGGGTCAGCACAGACCCG 504

Query 181 CAGCCCCTCAAGGAGCAGCCCGCCCTCAATGACTCCAGATACTGCCTGAGCAGCCGCCTG 240
      |||
Sbjct 505 CAGCCCCTCAAGGAGCAGCCCGCCCTCAATGACTCCAGATACTGCCTGAGCAGCCGCCTG 564

Query 241 AGGGTCTCGGCCACCTTCTGGCAGAACCCCGCAACCACTTCCGCTGTCAAGTCCAGTTC 300
      |||
Sbjct 565 AGGGTCTCGGCCACCTTCTGGCAGAACCCCGCAACCACTTCCGCTGTCAAGTCCAGTTC 624


Query 301 TACGGGCTCTCGGAGAATGACGAGTGGACCCAGGATAGGGCCAAACCCGTACCCAGATC 360
      |||
Sbjct 625 TACGGGCTCTCGGAGAATGACGAGTGGACCCAGGATAGGGCCAAACCTGTACCCAGATC 684

Query 361 GTCAGCGCCGAGGCCTGGGGTAGAGCAGACTGTGGCTTCACCTCCG 406
      |||
Sbjct 685 GTCAGCGCCGAGGCCTGGGGTAGAGCAGACTGTGGCTTCACCTCCG 730
```

Furthermore, residues 325-730 of Bandman SEQ ID NO: 130 encode a polypeptide identical to the human TCR β chain constant (C) domain, in particular residues 1-135 of a human TCR β chain constant (C) domain shown, for example, in Entrez Protein accession number AAA82687 (note that the polypeptide of AAA82687 is encoded by Entrez Nucleotide accession number L34740 cited above).

Art Unit: 1644

As can be seen from the Entrez Protein accession number AAA82687, residues 6 to 108 AAA82687 correspond to the "IGc domain" of the human TCR β chain constant (C) domain which forms the heterodimer interface between the TCR β and α subunits:

cd00098: IGc


Immunoglobulin domain constant region subfamily; members of the IGc subfamily are components of immunoglobulins, T-cell receptors, CD1 cell surface glycoproteins, secretory glycoproteins A/C, and Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) class I/II molecules. In immunoglobulins, each chain is composed of one variable domain (IGv) and one or more constant domains (IGc); these names reflect the fact that the variability in sequences is higher in the variable domain than in the constant domain. T-cell receptors form heterodimers, pairing two chains (alpha/beta or gamma/delta), each with a IGv and IGc domain. MHCs form heterodimers pairing two chains (alpha/beta or delta/epsilon), each with a MHC and IGc domain. A predominant feature of most Ig domains is a disulfide bridge connecting 2 beta-sheets with a Trp packing against the disulfide bond.

Links

Source: Smart
Taxonomy: Gnathostomata
PubMed: 5 links
Protein: Related Protein
 Related Structure
 Architectures
 Representatives
Related CDs: 5 links

Statistics

PSSM-Id: 28981
View PSSM: cd00098
Aligned: 40 rows
Status: curated CD
Created: 25-May-2001
Updated: 10-Jan-2006


heterodimer

Feature 1: heterodimer interface

Evidence:

- Comment:** dimerization of IGc1 domains from different chains is common, but not found in all members
- Structure:** 1F58: IgG1 light and heavy chains - IGc1 interface
 - View structure with Cn3D
- Structure:** 1FYT: T-cell receptor, alpha/beta chains - IGc1 interface
 - View structure with Cn3D
- Structure:** 1HDM: Class II Histocompatibility Antigen, alpha/beta chains - IGc1 interface
 - View structure with Cn3D

Download Cn3D for Viewing 3D Structure Scroll to Sequence Alignment Display



cd00098 is part of a hierarchy of related CD models.
 Use the graphical representation to navigate this hierarchy.

cd00098 Sequence Cluster

Sub-family Hierarchy

(See <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/cddsrv.cgi?uid=28981> for additional information about the "IGc domain").

Thus, SEQ ID NO: 130 of Bandman encodes a TCR β constant domain.

Lastly, it noted that when considered as a whole, Bandman SEQ ID NO: 130 can be given the following nucleotide assignments:

Residues 1-124 – genomic region 5' of the human J β 2.3 exon

Residues 125-324 - J β 2.3 exon-intron-J β 2.4 exon

Residues 325-1642 – exon 1- exon 2 – C β 2 intron B – exon 3 – exon 4 of the 4 exon C β 2 domain

Art Unit: 1644

Residues 1643-1186 – genomic region 3' of exon 4 of the C β 2 domain

Thus, SEQ ID NO: 130 of Bandman is indeed a transcript of a TCR gene (which has 3 completed exon to exon junctions) and not a cloning artifact.

In conclusion, SEQ ID NO: 130 of Bandman anticipates the instant claims in that it is an isolated polynucleotide comprising a transcript of a T cell receptor (TCR) gene, said polynucleotide lacking V region sequences and comprising a constant (C) domain and joining (J) region sequences, and a 5' intronic J sequences upstream to said J region sequence including an in-frame methionine codon, wherein the intronic J β sequence is the intronic sequence upstream of J β 2.3 which encodes the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 17. Moreover, Bandman teaches expression of the cDNAs of the invention by cloning into an expression vector and transfection of a mammalian host cells with said cDNA containing expression vector.

It should be noted that as stated in Rasmusson v. SmithKline Beecham Corp., *ibid*, “In In re Hafner, 410 F.2d 1403 (CCPA 1969), the court stated that 'a disclosure lacking a teaching of how to use a fully disclosed compound for a specific, substantial utility or of how to use for such purpose a compound produced by a fully disclosed process is, under the present state of the law, entirely adequate to anticipate a claim to either the product or the process and, at the same time, entirely inadequate to support the allowance of such a claim.' Id. at 1405; see Schoenwald, 964 F.2d at 1124; In re Samour, 571 F.2d 559, 563-64 (CCPA 1978). The reason is that **section 112 'provides that the specification must enable one skilled in the art to 'use' the invention whereas [section] 102 makes no such requirement as to an anticipatory disclosure.'** Hafner, 410 F.2d at 1405; see 1 Donald S. Chisum, Chisum on Patents § 3.04[1][c] (2002); see also In re Cruciferous Sprout Litig., 301 F.3d 1343, 1349-52 (Fed. Cir. 2001) (finding anticipation where applicant sought a patent based on a new use for a previously disclosed method).” (emphasis added).

Art Unit: 1644

More particularly, in Rasmusson v. SmithKline Beecham Corp (413 F.3d 1318, 1325-26 (Fed. Cir. 2005)), the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held that prior art European patent application, EP No. 285383 ("EP '383") was **an enabled reference for purposes of anticipation even though**, according to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, (1) there was no reasonable scientific basis for a person of ordinary skill in the art to conclude that the claimed method would be effective in treating prostate cancer, and (2) EP '383 did not provide any proof that the claimed method would actually be effective in treating prostate cancer.

13. No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1644

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachary Skelding whose telephone number is 571-272-9033. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Zachary Skelding, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

December 6, 2007



MICHAIL BELYAVSKYI, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

12/26/07